

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/997,160	11/29/2001	Sumio Kuroda	1100.66020 3617		
75	590 07/29/2003				
Patrick G. Burns			EXAMINER		
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD. 300 South Wacker Dr., Suite 2500			HECKENBERG.	JR, DONALD H	
Chicago, IL 60	0606		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1722	4	
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003	(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				mK-		
	Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/997,160		KURODA, SUMIO	,		
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	Donald Hec		1722			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is no	on-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•	•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application	ı .					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requi	rement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			r (PTO-413) Paper No(Patent Application (PT0			

Application/Control Number: 09/997,160 Page 2

Art Unit: 1722

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-3, drawn to a mold, classified in class 249, subclass 114.1.
- II. Claims 5-13, drawn to a method of manufacturing a mold, classified in class 216, subclass 24.
- III. Claims 14-16, drawn to a method of manufacturing a recording medium, classified in class 264, subclass 1.33.
- IV. Claims 17-19, drawn to a substrate of recording medium, classified in class 428, subclass 64.4.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions Group II and Group I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different

Application/Control Number: 09/997,160

Art Unit: 1722

product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process wherein the information pattern is not etched on the carbon plate, but rather is a stamper structure attached to the carbon plate.

Page 3

3. Inventions Group III and Group I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as a process wherein the mold is used as a shaping surface for a fluent material as opposed to a substrate. Note, the intended use of an apparatus is not germane to the issue of patentability of the apparatus. In re Casey, 370 F.2d 576, 580 152 USPQ 235, 238 (Cust. & Pat. App. 1967); In re Otto, 312 F.2d 937, 939, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (Cust. & Pat. App. 1963).

Application/Control Number: 09/997,160 Page 4

Art Unit: 1722

- Inventions Group I and Group IV are related as apparatus 4. and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus such as an injection mold. Note, claims 17-19 recite a "substrate of a recording medium manufactured by," which makes the claim in effect a product by process claim. The determination of patentability in product by process claims is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Brown, 459 F.2d 531, 173 USPQ 685 (Cust. & Pat. App. 1972); In re Pilkington, 411 F.2d 1345, 162 USPQ 145 (Cust. & Pat. App. 1969).
- 5. Inventions Group II and Group III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case,

Application/Control Number: 09/997,160 Page 5

Art Unit: 1722

invention Group III has separate utility such as being used with a mold that is not formed by etching, but rather wherein the mold which has an information pattern formed by a direct machining process. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 6. Inventions Group II and Group IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product could be made using an injection mold instead of a press mold.
- 7. Inventions Group III and Group IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product could be made using an injection mold instead of a press mold.

Application/Control Number: 09/997,160

Art Unit: 1722

Page 6

- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given 8. above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier 9. communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald Heckenberg whose telephone number is (703) 308-6371. examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda Walker, can be reached at (703) 308-0457. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310 for responses to non-final action, and 703-872-9311 for responses to final actions. The unofficial fax phone number is (703) 305-3602.

July 23, 2003